

A festive look at the Szlenk index



Dr Richard Smith

(<http://maths.ucd.ie/~rsmith>)

Universal Banach spaces

Theorem 1

If X is a separable Banach space, then X embeds isometrically in $C[0, 1]$.

Universal Banach spaces

Theorem 1

If X is a separable Banach space, then X embeds isometrically in $C[0, 1]$.

Since $C[0, 1]$ is itself separable, we say that $C[0, 1]$ is *isometrically universal* for the class of separable Banach spaces.

Universal Banach spaces

Theorem 1

If X is a separable Banach space, then X embeds isometrically in $C[0, 1]$.

Since $C[0, 1]$ is itself separable, we say that $C[0, 1]$ is *isometrically universal* for the class of separable Banach spaces.

Problem 2

Is there a separable reflexive Banach space Y that is *isomorphically universal* for the class of separable reflexive spaces?

Universal Banach spaces

Theorem 1

If X is a separable Banach space, then X embeds isometrically in $C[0, 1]$.

Since $C[0, 1]$ is itself separable, we say that $C[0, 1]$ is *isometrically universal* for the class of separable Banach spaces.

Problem 2

Is there a separable reflexive Banach space Y that is *isomorphically universal* for the class of separable reflexive spaces?

In this talk, we sketch Szlenk's inventive negative solution of this problem.

w^* -open subsets of small diameter

Fact 3

Let $X = \ell_1$. If U is any non-empty relatively w^* -open subset of $B_{X^*} = B_{\ell_\infty}$, then

$$\|\cdot\| \text{-diam}(U) = 2.$$

w^* -open subsets of small diameter

Fact 3

Let $X = \ell_1$. If U is any non-empty relatively w^* -open subset of $B_{X^*} = B_{\ell_\infty}$, then

$$\|\cdot\| \text{-diam}(U) = 2.$$

Proposition 4

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with $\|\cdot\|$ -separable dual.

w^* -open subsets of small diameter

Fact 3

Let $X = \ell_1$. If U is any non-empty relatively w^* -open subset of $B_{X^*} = B_{\ell_\infty}$, then

$$\|\cdot\| \text{-diam}(U) = 2.$$

Proposition 4

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with $\|\cdot\|$ -separable dual. Now take $\varepsilon > 0$ and a non-empty, w^* -compact subset $A \subseteq X^*$.

w^* -open subsets of small diameter

Fact 3

Let $X = \ell_1$. If U is any non-empty relatively w^* -open subset of $B_{X^*} = B_{\ell_\infty}$, then

$$\|\cdot\| \text{-diam}(U) = 2.$$

Proposition 4

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space with $\|\cdot\|$ -separable dual. Now take $\varepsilon > 0$ and a non-empty, w^* -compact subset $A \subseteq X^*$. Then there exists a non-empty, relatively w^* -open subset $U \subseteq A$, such that

$$\|\cdot\| \text{-diam}(U) < \varepsilon.$$

Eating w^* -compact sets

Definition 5

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subseteq X^*$ be w^* -compact.

Eating w^* -compact sets

Definition 5

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subseteq X^*$ be w^* -compact. Define

$$d_\varepsilon(A) = A \setminus \bigcup \{V : V \subseteq A \text{ is relatively } w^*\text{-open and } \|\cdot\|\text{-diam}(V) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Eating w^* -compact sets

Definition 5

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subseteq X^*$ be w^* -compact. Define

$$d_\varepsilon(A) = A \setminus \bigcup \{V : V \subseteq A \text{ is relatively } w^*\text{-open and } \|\cdot\|\text{-diam}(V) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Remark 6

First, $d_\varepsilon(A)$ is w^* -compact.

Eating w^* -compact sets

Definition 5

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subseteq X^*$ be w^* -compact. Define

$$d_\varepsilon(A) = A \setminus \bigcup \{V : V \subseteq A \text{ is relatively } w^*\text{-open and } \|\cdot\|\text{-diam}(V) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Remark 6

First, $d_\varepsilon(A)$ is w^* -compact.

Second, by Proposition 1, there is a non-empty, relatively w^* -open subset $U \subseteq A$ with $\|\cdot\|\text{-diam}(U) < \varepsilon$, hence

$$d_\varepsilon(A) \subseteq A \setminus U \subsetneq A.$$

Eating w^* -compact sets

Definition 5

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \subseteq X^*$ be w^* -compact. Define

$$d_\varepsilon(A) = A \setminus \bigcup \{V : V \subseteq A \text{ is relatively } w^*\text{-open and } \|\cdot\|\text{-diam}(V) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Remark 6

First, $d_\varepsilon(A)$ is w^* -compact.

Second, by Proposition 1, there is a non-empty, relatively w^* -open subset $U \subseteq A$ with $\|\cdot\|\text{-diam}(U) < \varepsilon$, hence

$$d_\varepsilon(A) \subseteq A \setminus U \subsetneq A.$$

We can iterate the process:

$$A \supsetneq d_\varepsilon(A) \supsetneq d_\varepsilon(d_\varepsilon(A)) \supsetneq \dots$$

Eating the dual ball

Definition 7

Let X^* be $\|\cdot\|$ -separable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, define $B_0^\varepsilon = B_{X^*}$.

Eating the dual ball

Definition 7

Let X^* be $\|\cdot\|$ -separable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, define $B_0^\varepsilon = B_{X^*}$.

If B_n^ε is non-empty, define $B_{n+1}^\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon(B_n^\varepsilon)$.

Eating the dual ball

Definition 7

Let X^* be $\|\cdot\|$ -separable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, define $B_0^\varepsilon = B_{X^*}$.

If B_n^ε is non-empty, define $B_{n+1}^\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon(B_n^\varepsilon)$.

If B_n^ε is non-empty for all n , define

$$B_\omega^\varepsilon = \bigcap_n B_n^\varepsilon.$$

Eating the dual ball

Definition 7

Let X^* be $\|\cdot\|$ -separable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, define $B_0^\varepsilon = B_{X^*}$.

If B_n^ε is non-empty, define $B_{n+1}^\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon(B_n^\varepsilon)$.

If B_n^ε is non-empty for all n , define

$$B_\omega^\varepsilon = \bigcap_n B_n^\varepsilon.$$

In general, if B_α^ε is non-empty for some ordinal α , then $B_{\alpha+1}^\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon(B_\alpha^\varepsilon)$.

Eating the dual ball

Definition 7

Let X^* be $\|\cdot\|$ -separable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, define $B_0^\varepsilon = B_{X^*}$.

If B_n^ε is non-empty, define $B_{n+1}^\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon(B_n^\varepsilon)$.

If B_n^ε is non-empty for all n , define

$$B_\omega^\varepsilon = \bigcap_n B_n^\varepsilon.$$

In general, if B_α^ε is non-empty for some ordinal α , then $B_{\alpha+1}^\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon(B_\alpha^\varepsilon)$.

And, if B_α^ε is non-empty for all $\alpha < \lambda$, where λ is a limit ordinal, then

$$B_\lambda^\varepsilon = \bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} B_\alpha^\varepsilon.$$

The Szlenk index

Theorem 8

If $\varepsilon > 0$, then B_α^ε is empty for some *countable* ordinal α .

The Szlenk index

Theorem 8

If $\varepsilon > 0$, then B_α^ε is empty for some *countable* ordinal α .

Definition 9

Let α_n be the least (countable) ordinal such that $B_{\alpha_n}^{n^{-1}}$ is empty.

The Szlenk index

Theorem 8

If $\varepsilon > 0$, then B_α^ε is empty for some *countable* ordinal α .

Definition 9

Let α_n be the least (countable) ordinal such that $B_{\alpha_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ is empty.

The *Szlenk index* of X is given by the countable ordinal

$$\text{Sz}(X) = \sup_n \alpha_n.$$

The Szlenk index

Theorem 8

If $\varepsilon > 0$, then B_α^ε is empty for some *countable* ordinal α .

Definition 9

Let α_n be the least (countable) ordinal such that $B_{\alpha_n}^{n^{-1}}$ is empty.

The *Szlenk index* of X is given by the countable ordinal

$$\text{Sz}(X) = \sup_n \alpha_n.$$

Example 10

$$\text{Sz}(c_0) = \text{Sz}(H) = \omega.$$

The Szlenk index

Theorem 8

If $\varepsilon > 0$, then B_α^ε is empty for some *countable* ordinal α .

Definition 9

Let α_n be the least (countable) ordinal such that $B_{\alpha_n}^{n^{-1}}$ is empty.

The *Szlenk index* of X is given by the countable ordinal

$$\text{Sz}(X) = \sup_n \alpha_n.$$

Example 10

$\text{Sz}(C_0) = \text{Sz}(H) = \omega$. For every countable ordinal α , there is a countable compact Hausdorff space K such that $\text{Sz}(C(K)) = \omega^{\alpha+1}$.

The endgame

Theorem 11

If X embeds isomorphically in Y , then

$$\text{Sz}(X) \leq \text{Sz}(Y).$$

The endgame

Theorem 11

If X embeds isomorphically in Y , then

$$\text{Sz}(X) \leq \text{Sz}(Y).$$

Theorem 12

If α is any countable ordinal, then there exists a separable reflexive Banach space X_α such that

$$\alpha \leq \text{Sz}(X_\alpha).$$

The endgame

Theorem 11

If X embeds isomorphically in Y , then

$$\text{Sz}(X) \leq \text{Sz}(Y).$$

Theorem 12

If α is any countable ordinal, then there exists a separable reflexive Banach space X_α such that

$$\alpha \leq \text{Sz}(X_\alpha).$$

Theorem 13 (Szlenk, 68)

If Y is separable and reflexive, then there is a separable, reflexive space X that does not embed isomorphically in Y .